

# LOAN DOCUMENT

|   |  |           |
|---|--|-----------|
| DTIC ACCESSION NUMBER   | PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET  | INVENTORY |
|   | LEVEL  | ①         |
|   | Interim Rpt. for Bioventing Field...<br>DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION<br>10 Nov 92 |           |
| DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A<br>Approved for Public Release<br>Distribution Unlimited |  |           |
| DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT  |  |           |
| DATE RECEIVED IN DTIC   |  |           |
| REGISTERED OR CERTIFIED NUMBER  |  |           |
| PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET AND RETURN TO DTIC-FDAC                                     |  |           |

ACCESSION FOR

|               |      |                                     |
|---------------|------|-------------------------------------|
| NTIS          | GRAM | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| DTIC          | TRAC | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| UNANNOUNCED   |      | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| JUSTIFICATION |      |                                     |

BY

DISTRIBUTION/

AVAILABILITY CODES

|              |                             |
|--------------|-----------------------------|
| DISTRIBUTION | AVAILABILITY AND/OR SPECIAL |
| A-1          |                             |

DATE RECEIVED IN DTIC

20001215 104

DATE RETURNED

DATE RECEIVED IN DTIC

DATE RETURNED

DATE RECEIVED IN DTIC

DATE RETURNED

H  
A  
N  
D  
L  
E  
  
W  
I  
T  
H  
  
C  
A  
R  
E

**INTERIM REPORT**  
**November 10, 1992**

**FOR**

**BIOVENTING FIELD INITIATIVE**

**AT**

**SITE UST 173**  
**ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA**

**to**

**Captain Catherine M. Vogel**  
**Department of the Air Force**  
**Building 1117**  
**HQ AFESC/RDVW**  
**Tyndall AFB, Florida 32403-6001**

**by**

**BATTELLE**  
**Columbus Operations**  
**505 King Avenue**  
**Columbus, Ohio 43201-2693**

*AQM01-03-0555*

# **DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL REPORTS**

Title AFCEE Collection

**1. Report Availability (Please check one box)**

- ☒ This report is available. Complete sections 2a - 2f.  
☐ This report is not available. Complete section 3.

**2a. Number of  
Copies Forwarded**

1 each

**2b. Forwarding Date**

July/2000

**2c. Distribution Statement (Please check ONE box)**

DoD Directive 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents," 18 Mar 87, contains seven distribution statements, as described briefly below. Technical documents MUST be assigned a distribution statement.

- ☒ **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A:** Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.
- ☐ **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT B:** Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies only.
- ☐ **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT C:** Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies and their contractors.
- ☐ **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT D:** Distribution authorized to U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) and U.S. DoD contractors only.
- ☐ **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT E:** Distribution authorized to U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) components only.
- ☐ **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT F:** Further dissemination only as directed by the controlling DoD office indicated below or by higher authority.
- ☐ **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT X:** Distribution authorized to U.S. Government agencies and private individuals or enterprises eligible to obtain export-controlled technical data in accordance with DoD Directive 5230.25, Withholding of Unclassified Technical Data from Public Disclosure, 6 Nov 84.

**2d. Reason For the Above Distribution Statement (in accordance with DoD Directive 5230.24)**

**2e. Controlling Office**

HQ AFCEE

**2f. Date of Distribution Statement  
Determination**

15 Nov 2000

**3. This report is NOT forwarded for the following reasons. (Please check appropriate box)**

- ☐ It was previously forwarded to DTIC on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) and the AD number is \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ It will be published at a later date. Enter approximate date if known. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ In accordance with the provisions of DoD Directive 3200.12, the requested document is not supplied because: \_\_\_\_\_

**Print or Type Name**

Laura Peña

**Telephone**

210-536-1431

**Signature**

Laura Peña

**(For DTIC Use Only)**

**AD Number** 1101-03-0555

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION .....                                       | 1   |
| 1.1 Objectives .....   | 1   |
| 1.2 Site Description .....                                   | 2   |
| 2.0 CHRONOLOGY OF EVENTS AND SITE ACTIVITIES .....           | 2   |
| 2.1 Soil Gas Survey .....                                    | 2   |
| 2.2 Vent Well and Monitoring Point Installation .....        | 4   |
| 2.3 Soil and Soil Gas Sampling and Analyses .....            | 8   |
| 2.4 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence .....      | 8   |
| 2.5 In Situ Respiration Test .....                           | 8   |
| 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....                             | 11  |
| 3.1 Soil and Soil Gas Analyses .....                         | 11  |
| 3.2 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence .....      | 11  |
| 3.3 In Situ Respiration Test .....                           | 11  |
| 3.4 Bioventing Demonstration .....                           | 17  |
| 4.0 BACKGROUND AREA .....                                    | 17  |
| 5.0 FUTURE WORK .....  | 17  |
| 6.0 REFERENCE .....  | 20  |
| APPENDIX A: ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SITE UST 173 .....         | A-1 |
| APPENDIX B: SITE UST 173 SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY DATA .....    | B-1 |
| APPENDIX C: SITE UST 173 IN SITU RESPIRATION TEST DATA ..... | C-1 |

## LIST OF TABLES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 1. Initial Soil Gas Composition at Site UST 173 .....  | 5  |
| Table 2. Results From Soil and Soil Gas Analyses for BTEX and TPH at Site UST 173 .....                                  | 12 |
| Table 3. Results From Soil Chemistry Analyses at Site UST 173 .....  | 13 |
| Table 4. Results of Hyperventilate™ Soil Gas Permeability Analysis .....   | 13 |
| Table 5. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production Rates During In Situ<br>Respiration Test at Site UST 173 ..... | 16 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Figure 1. Schematic Diagram of Site UST 173 at Robins AFB .....                           | 3 |
| Figure 2. Cross-Section of Vent Well and Monitoring Points Location at Site UST 173 ..... | 6 |
| Figure 3. Schematic Diagram of the Vent Well Construction at Site UST 173 .....           | 7 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 4. Schematic Diagram of a Typical Monitoring Point Construction at Site UST 173 . . . .              | 9  |
| Figure 5. Calculation of Radius of Influence at Site UST 173 . . . . .                                      | 14 |
| Figure 6. Oxygen Utilization During In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point R1-MPA-<br>14'3" . . . . . | 15 |
| Figure 7. Schematic Diagram of Vent Well Construction at the Background Area . . . . .                      | 18 |
| Figure 8. Oxygen Utilization During In Situ Respiration Test at Background Area . . . . .                   | 19 |

**INTERIM REPORT**  
**BIOVENTING FIELD INITIATIVE**  
**AT**  
**SITE UST 173**  
**ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA**

**1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This report describes the activities conducted at Robins Air Force Base (AFB), Georgia, Site UST 173 as part of the Bioventing Field Initiative for the U.S. Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence (AFCEE). This report summarizes the results from the first phase of the study at Robins AFB which includes a soil gas survey, air permeability test, in situ respiration tests, and installation of bioventing systems. The specific objectives of this task are described in the following section.

**1.1 Objectives**

The purpose of these field test methods is to measure the soil gas permeability and microbial activity at a contaminated site and to evaluate the potential application of the bioventing technology to remediate the site. The specific test objectives are stated below.

- A small-scale soil gas survey will be conducted to identify an appropriate location for installation of the bioventing system. Soil gas from the candidate site should exhibit relatively high total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) concentrations, relatively low oxygen concentrations, and relatively high carbon dioxide concentrations. An uncontaminated background location will also be identified.
- The soil gas permeability of the soil and the air vent (well) radius of influence will be determined. This will require air to be withdrawn or injected for approximately 8 hours at vent wells located in contaminated soils. Pressure changes will be monitored in an array of monitoring points.
- Immediately following the soil gas permeability test, an in situ respiration test will be conducted. Air will be injected into selected monitoring points to aerate the soils. The in situ oxygen utilization and carbon dioxide production rates will be measured.
- Using the data from the soil gas permeability and in situ respiration tests, an air injection/withdrawal rate will be determined for use in the bioventing test. A blower will be selected, installed, and operated for 6 to 12 months, and periodic measurements of the soil gas composition will be made to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of bioventing.

## 1.2 Site Description

Robins AFB is located approximately 10 miles south of Macon, Georgia, adjacent to the town of Warner Robins, Georgia. Site UST 173 had a 1,500-gallon diesel tank next to Building 173 on the base that was abandoned in place approximately 20 years ago. The tank was removed in October 1989. Site investigation activities conducted subsequent to the tank removal indicated residual soil contamination. The site was re-excavated, and approximately 200 cubic yards of soil were removed for disposal. Soil contamination remained on the southern boundaries of the excavation, but could not be removed without undermining the foundation of a gazebo on site. Soil samples taken from the south wall of the excavation pit exhibited TPH concentrations as high as 22,600 ppm. Elevated concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) also were detected. Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of Site UST 173. Soil borings taken at the site during the site investigation show dense, clayey sand to a depth of approximately 5 feet; coarse sand and gravel to approximately 25 feet; and stiff, tannish white clay below 25 feet. All borings were terminated in the stiff clay, and no groundwater was encountered.

## 2.0 CHRONOLOGY OF EVENTS AND SITE ACTIVITIES

### 2.1 Soil Gas Survey

A site deemed suitable for the bioventing demonstration should have soil gas characteristics of low oxygen, high carbon dioxide, and high TPH. This composition of soil gas would indicate that oxygen-limiting conditions for microbial activity are present and that the introduction of air may enhance biodegradation of TPH.

A limited soil gas survey was conducted to locate a suitable test area at Site UST 173 on August 25, 1992. Soil gases were sampled by driving a 5/8-inch-diameter stainless steel probe into the soil with a hammer drill. Soil gas was withdrawn with a vacuum pump and analyzed for oxygen, carbon dioxide, and TPH.

Measurements of oxygen and carbon dioxide in the soil gas were made with a GasTech Model 32530X with oxygen and carbon dioxide ranges of 0 to 25%. The analyzer was calibrated daily against atmospheric oxygen, atmospheric carbon dioxide, a 10% oxygen calibration standard, and a




Figure 1. Schematic Diagram of Site UST 173 at Robins AFB



5% carbon dioxide calibration standard. TPH was measured with a GasTech Trace Techtor with TPH ranges from 0 to 100, 0 to 1,000, and 0 to 10,000 ppm. The GasTech Trace Techtor was calibrated daily against a 4,200 ppm hexane standard.

The soil gas probes were driven to depths ranging from 2.5 to 10.0 feet at several locations at Site UST 173. Table 1 provides the initial concentrations of oxygen, carbon dioxide, and TPH for the various locations at Site UST 173. Oxygen concentrations varied from 0 to 19.2%, whereas TPH concentrations ranged from 0 to greater than 20,000 ppm. These results indicate that, although not all areas of the site are oxygen-limited, some areas may respond to bioventing.

## **2.2 Vent Well and Monitoring Point Installation**

On August 26, 1992, the vent well (VW) and three monitoring points were installed, and collection of soil samples for analyses was begun. The monitoring points were labelled R1-MPA, R1-MPB, and R1-MPC. The locations of the vent well and monitoring points are shown in Figure 1. A cross-section of the vent well and monitoring points is shown in Figure 2.

The vent well was installed at a depth of 23.3 feet into a 6-inch-diameter borehole. The vent well consisted of Schedule 40 2-inch-diameter polyvinyl chloride (PVC) piping with 10 feet of ten-slot screen. The annular space corresponding to the screened area of the well was filled with silica sand; the annular space above the screened interval was filled with bentonite to prevent short-circuiting of air to or from the surface. A schematic diagram of the vent well construction is shown in Figure 3.


Soil gas probes consisted of 1/4-inch tubing with a 6-inch screened area 1/2-inch in diameter. The annular space corresponding to the screened area was filled with silica sand. The interval between the screened areas was filled with bentonite, as was the annular space from the shallowest monitoring point to the ground surface. The monitoring points were installed at depths as follows:

- Monitoring point R1-MPA was installed at a depth of 22'4" into a 6-inch-diameter borehole. The monitoring point was screened to three depths: 21'10", 14'3", and 6'10".
- Monitoring point R1-MPB was installed at a depth of 23'6" into a 6-inch-diameter borehole. The monitoring point was screened to three depths: 23', 15', and 8'.
- Monitoring point R1-MPC was installed at a depth of 23'6" into a 6-inch-diameter borehole. The monitoring point was screened to three depths: 23', 15', and 8'.

Table 1. Initial Soil Gas Composition at Site UST 173

| Soil Gas Survey (GS) Point | Depth (ft) | Oxygen (%) | Carbon Dioxide (%) | TPH (ppm) |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|
| GS-1                       | 2.5        | 15         | 4.5                | 145       |
|                            | 5          | 11.7       | 6.5                | 360       |
|                            | 7.5        | 17.2       | 3.0                | 160       |
|                            | 10         | 11         | 8.0                | 620       |
| GS-2                       | 2.5        | 11         | 7.7                | 380       |
|                            | 5          | 19.2       | 1.5                | 240       |
|                            | 7.5        | 0          | 9.2                | > 20,000  |
| GS-3                       | 2.5        | 9.5        | 7.2                | 380       |
|                            | 5          | 19         | 1.5                | 88        |
|                            | 7.5        | 12         | 5.6                | 230       |
|                            | 10         | 14         | 5.5                | 280       |
| GS-4                       | 2.5        | 12.3       | 5.8                | 360       |
|                            | 5          | 15.8       | 2.3                | > 10,000  |
|                            | 7.5        | 18         | 1                  | 1,200     |
|                            | 10         | 11.5       | 7.5                | 380       |
| GS-6                       | 2.5        | 8.5        | 9.5                | 100       |
|                            | 5          | 15         | 4.2                | 84        |
| GS-8                       | 2.5        | 13.8       | 2.5                | 100       |
|                            | 5          | 17.5       | 4.1                | 0         |

Figure 2. Cross-Section of Vent Well and Monitoring Points Location at Site UST 173



FKitt/11-05

Figure 3. Schematic Diagram of the Vent Well Construction at Site UST 173

A schematic diagram of the construction detail of a typical monitoring point for this site is shown in Figure 4.

### **2.3 Soil and Soil Gas Sampling and Analyses**

Soil boring samples were collected at depths of 4.0, 18.0, and 18.5 feet from the Site UST 173 vent well borehole and were labelled R1-V-4.0'-4.5', R1-V-18.0', and R1-V-18.5'-19.0'. The samples were sent under chain of custody to Engineering-Science Berkeley Laboratory for analysis of BTEX, TPH, iron, and soil chemistry. A soil sample was also taken from monitoring point R1-MPA at a depth of 8.5 feet and labelled R1-MPA-8.5'-10.0'. Soil gas samples also were collected from the vent well and from monitoring points R1-MPA and R1-MPC, and a sample of ambient air was taken. These samples were labelled R1-VW, R1-MPA-21'10", R1-MPC-15', and ambient. These samples were sent under chain of custody to Air Toxics, Ltd. in Rancho Cordova, California, for analysis of BTEX and TPH.

### **2.4 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence**

A detailed description of the method for conducting a soil gas permeability test, including equations to compute  $k$ , the soil gas permeability, is presented in "Test Plan and Technical Protocol for a Field Treatability Test for Bioventing" (Hinchey et al., 1992).

The monitoring points at Site UST 173 were allowed to set in place for 24 hours prior to air injection. A portable 1-horsepower (HP) explosion-proof positive displacement blower unit was used to inject air. After air injection was initiated, pressure readings were taken approximately every 1 to 2 minutes for the first hour, then approximately every 10 minutes for the following hour. The Hyperventilate™ computer model was used to calculate the soil gas permeability.

### **2.5 In Situ Respiration Test**

Immediately following the soil gas permeability test at Site UST 173, air containing approximately 1% helium was injected into the soil for approximately 24 hours beginning on September 1. Air was injected concurrently into the background monitoring well to measure the





Figure 4. Schematic Diagram of a Typical Monitoring Point Construction at Site UST 173

natural biodegradation of organic material in the soil. The setup for the in situ respiration test was as described in "Test Plan and Technical Protocol for a Field Treatability Test for Bioventing" (Hinchee et al., 1992). The pump used for air injection was a 1/2-HP diaphragm pump. Air and helium were injected through monitoring points R1-MPA-14'3", R1-MPA-21'10", R1-MPC-15', and R1-MPC-23' at the depths indicated by the labels. After the air/helium injection was turned off, the respiration gases were monitored periodically. The respiration test was terminated on September 8.

Helium concentrations were measured during the in situ respiration test to quantify helium leakage to or from the surface around the monitoring points. As a rough estimate, the diffusion of gas molecules is inversely proportional to the square root of the molecular weight of the gas. Based on molecular weights of 4 for helium and 32 for oxygen, helium diffuses about 2.8 times faster than oxygen, or the diffusion of oxygen is 0.35 times the rate of helium diffusion. This ratio can then be used as a comparison when monitoring diffusion of oxygen based on helium loss.

To compare data from one site to another, a stoichiometric relationship of the oxidation of the hydrocarbon was assumed. Hexane was used as the representative hydrocarbon for the organic contaminant. The stoichiometric relationship is given by:



Based on the utilization rates (% per day), the biodegradation rates in terms of mg as hexane equivalent per kg of soil per day were computed using the equation below by assuming a soil porosity of 0.2 and a bulk density of 1,440 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.

$$K_b = -\frac{K_o A D_o C}{100} \quad (2)$$

where:  $K_b$  = biodegradation rate (mg/kg/day)  
 $K_o$  = oxygen utilization rate (percent per day)  
 $A$  = volume of air/kg of soil, in this case  $300/1,440 = 0.21$   
 $D_o$  = density of oxygen gas (mg/L) assumed to be 1,330 mg/L  
 $C$  = mass ratio of hydrocarbon to oxygen required for mineralization, assumed to be 1:3.5 from the above stoichiometric equation.

### 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Soil and Soil Gas Analyses

Results of the soil analyses for BTEX and TPH are presented in Table 2. Relatively low concentrations of the BTEX compounds were found in soil samples, with concentrations ranging from below the detection limit to 3.0 mg/kg. TPH concentrations were high in sample R1-MPA-8.5'-10.0', while the other soil samples contained relatively low TPH concentrations. The soil gas analyses also showed relatively low BTEX and TPH concentrations with concentrations ranging from less than the detection limit up to 2.2 ppm of BTEX and from 27 to 300 ppm of TPH (Table 2). The results from the soil chemistry analyses are summarized in Table 3. The laboratory report for the BTEX, TPH, and the soil chemistry analysis is given in Appendix A.

#### 3.2 Soil Gas Permeability and Radius of Influence

The raw data for the soil gas permeability test at Site UST 173 are presented in Appendix B. Using the Hyperventilate™ computer model, soil gas permeabilities were calculated at each of the monitoring points. These data are presented in Table 4. The soil gas permeability varied considerably between points with values ranging from 0.05 up to 10,200 darcy. The radius of influence for the vent well was calculated by plotting the log of the pressure at a specific monitoring versus the distance from the vent well (Figure 5). The radius of influence at Site UST 173 is estimated to be approximately 28 feet.

#### 3.3 In Situ Respiration Test

The results of the in situ respiration test for Site UST 173 are presented in Appendix C. Each figure in Appendix C illustrates the oxygen, carbon dioxide, and helium concentrations as a function of time. An example of typical oxygen utilization and carbon dioxide production at this site is shown in Figure 6, which shows oxygen, carbon dioxide, and helium at monitoring point R1-MPA-14'3". Oxygen utilization and carbon dioxide production rates were relatively low at this site at all monitoring points. The oxygen utilization and carbon dioxide production rates and corresponding biodegradation rates are summarized in Table 5. The biodegradation rates measured at this site were



**Table 2. Results From Soil and Soil Gas Analyses for BTEX and TPH at Site UST 173**

| Matrix   | Sample Name              | Benzene (mg/kg) | Toluene (mg/kg) | Total Xylenes (mg/kg) | Ethyl Benzene (mg/kg) | TPH <sup>1</sup> (mg/kg) |
|----------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Soil     | R1-V-4.0'-4.5'           | < 0.29          | < 0.33          | 3.0                   | 0.33                  | 37                       |
|          | R1-V-18.5'-19.0'         | < 0.0007        | < 0.0008        | 0.0037                | < 0.0006              | 8.0                      |
|          | R1-MPA-8.5'-10.0'        | < 0.0007        | 0.002           | 0.079                 | 0.009                 | 5,700                    |
| Matrix   | Sample Name              | Benzene (ppm)   | Toluene (ppm)   | Total Xylenes (ppm)   | Ethyl Benzene (ppm)   | TPH <sup>1</sup> (ppm)   |
| Soil Gas | R1-VW                    | < 0.004         | 0.025           | 2.2                   | 0.31                  | 300                      |
|          | R1-MPA-21'10"            | < 0.002         | 0.052           | 0.81                  | 0.055                 | 290                      |
|          | R1-MPC-15'               | < 0.002         | 0.006           | 0.098                 | 0.14                  | 27                       |
|          | Ambient Air <sup>2</sup> | < 0.002         | < 0.002         | < 0.002               | < 0.002               | 0.20                     |

<sup>1</sup> TPH referenced to gasoline (Molecular Weight = 100)  
<sup>2</sup> Sample taken at R1-MPA.

Table 3. Results From Soil Chemistry Analyses at Site UST 173

| Parameter                             | Sample Name    |            |                   |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|
|                                       | R1-V-4.0'-4.5' | R1-V-18'   | R1-MPA-8.5'-10.0' |
| Alkalinity (mg/kg CaCO <sub>3</sub> ) | < 50           | < 50       | < 50              |
| Moisture (% by weight)                | 16.2           | 9.1        | 17.5              |
| pH                                    | 4.9            | 5.4        | 5.2               |
| Iron (mg/kg)                          | 11,300         | 4,720      | 1,980             |
| Total Phosphorous (mg/kg)             | 110            | 64         | 79                |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/kg)       | 110            | 92         | 68                |
| Particle Size Analysis                | Gravel: 0%     | Gravel: 3% | Gravel: 0%        |
|                                       | Sand: 49%      | Sand: 40%  | Sand: 59%         |
|                                       | Silt: 20%      | Silt: 37%  | Silt: 22%         |
|                                       | Clay: 31%      | Clay: 20%  | Clay: 19%         |

Table 4. Results of Hyperventilate™ Soil Gas Permeability Analysis

| Monitoring Point | Depth  | Soil Gas Permeability (darcy) |
|------------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| R1-MPA           | 6'10"  | 0.050                         |
|                  | 14'3"  | 570                           |
|                  | 21'10" | 10,200                        |
| R1-MPB           | 8'     | 180                           |
|                  | 15'    | 320                           |
|                  | 23'    | 140                           |
| R1-MPC           | 8'     | 44                            |
|                  | 15'    | 0.17                          |
|                  | 23'    | 770                           |




Figure 5. Calculation of Radius of Influence at Site UST 173




Figure 6. Oxygen Utilization During In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point R1-MPA-14'3"

**Table 5. Oxygen Utilization and Carbon Dioxide Production Rates During In Situ Respiration Test at Site UST 173**

| <b>Sample Name</b> | <b>Oxygen Utilization Rate<br/>(%/hour)</b> | <b>Biodegradation Rate<br/>(mg/kg/day)</b> | <b>Carbon Dioxide Production Rate<br/>(%/hour)</b> | <b>Biodegradation Rate<br/>(mg/kg/day)</b> |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Background         | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| R1-MPA-14'3"       | 0.039                                       | 0.75                                       | 0.015  | 0.31                                       |
| R1-MPA-21'10"      | 0.028                                       | 0.54                                       | 0.031  | 0.68                                       |
| R1-MPC-15'         | 0.029                                       | 0.56                                       | 0.024  | 0.51                                       |
| R1-MPC-23'         | 0.020                                       | 0.38                                       | 0.015  | 0.31                                       |

fairly consistent between the monitoring points, with rates ranging from 0.38 to 0.75 mg/kg/day based upon oxygen and from 0.31 to 0.68 mg/kg/day for carbon dioxide, with a fairly good correlation between the oxygen utilization and carbon dioxide production rates.

Loss of helium was insignificant at all monitoring points, indicating that the monitoring points were well-sealed and that the oxygen depletion observed was a result of biodegradation.

### **3.4 Bioventing Demonstration**

The decision was made to install a bioventing system at Site UST 173. The same blower that was used for the soil gas permeability test was installed for the bioventing system. Continuous air injection was initiated on September 4th at a flow rate of 12 cubic feet per minute (cfm).


## **4.0 BACKGROUND AREA**

A background vent well was installed on August 31, 1992. The depth of this vent well was 23 feet. Ten feet were screened using Schedule 40, 2-inch-diameter, 10 slot PVC, and the remaining 13 feet consisted of Schedule 40, 2-inch-diameter PVC riser. The first 15 feet of the vent well was surrounded by sand, while 6 of the remaining 8 feet was enclosed by bentonite to seal the vent well. A schematic diagram of the vent well construction is shown in Figure 7.

An in situ respiration test was conducted at the background area beginning on September 5 after 24 hours of air injection. The test was concluded on September 9. No significant biodegradation was detected in this area as shown in Figure 8.

## **5.0 FUTURE WORK**

Base personnel will be required to perform a simple weekly system check to ensure that the blower is operating within its intended flow rate, pressure, and temperature range. This check must be coordinated with the base Point-of-Contact (POC). An on-site briefing for base personnel who will be responsible for blower system checks was conducted. The principle of operation was explained, and a simple checklist and logbook were provided for blower data. Base personnel will perform



FAKittet/11-03

Figure 7. Schematic Diagram of Vent Well Construction at the Background Area




Figure 8. Oxygen Utilization During In Situ Respiration Test at Background Area



minor maintenance activities, such as replacing filters or gauges, or draining condensate from knockout chambers, but they will not be expected to perform complicated repairs or analyze gas samples. Replacement filters and gauges will be provided and shipped to the base by the contractor. Serious problems such as motor or blower failures will be corrected by the contractor.

The progress of this system will be monitored by conducting semiannual respiration tests in the vent well and in each monitoring point, and by regularly measuring the oxygen, carbon dioxide, and hydrocarbon concentrations in the extracted soil gas and comparing them to background levels. Soil gas monitoring will be performed on a quarterly basis. Semiannual respiration tests will be performed. At least twice each year, the progress of the bioventing test will be reported to the base POC.

## 6.0 REFERENCE

Hinchee, R.E., S.K.Ong, R.N.Miller, D.C.Downey, and R.Frandt. 1992. "Test Plan and Technical Protocol for a Field Treatability Test for Bioventing," Revision 2.

**APPENDIX A**

**ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SITE UST 173**

**ENGINEERING-SCIENCE, INC.**

Report Date: October 9, 1992

Work Order No.: 4294

Client: Jeff Kittel  
Battelle  
505 King Ave.  
Columbus, OH 43201

Date of Sample Receipt: 09/01/92

Your soil samples identified as:

**R1-A-8.5'-10**

**R1-V-4.0'-4.5'**

were analyzed for BTEX by EPA Method 8020, pH, alkalinity, iron, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, moisture, TRPH by EPA Method 418.1, soil classification by ASTM D422 and total phosphorus.

In addition your soil sample identified as:

**R1-V-18'**

was analyzed for pH, alkalinity, iron, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, moisture soil classification by ASTM D422 and total phosphorus.

Finally your soil sample identified as:

**R1-V-18.5-19'**

was analyzed for BTEX by EPA Method 8020 and TRPH by EPA Method 418.1.

The analytical reports for the samples listed above are attached.

LEGEND FOR INORGANIC RESULT QUALIFIERS

- U The analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
- B Reported value is less than Reporting limit but greater than the IDL.
- N Spiked sample recovery not within control limits.
- S Reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Additions.
- \* Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
- W Post digestion spike for Furance AA analysis out of control limits (85-115%), while sample absorbance is less than 50% of spike absorbance
- + Correlation co-efficient for MSA is less than 0.995.
- E The reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference.
- R Quality Control indicates that data are not usable (compound may or may not be present). Re-sampling and re-analysis is necessary for verification.
- M Duplicate injection precision not met.

**GC VOLATILES DATA PACKAGE**

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT  
Analytical Method  
8020 Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4294

% Moisture: 17.5

Client ID:R1-A-8.5'-10'

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:4294-1

Level:LOW

Unit:ug/KG

Dilution Factor: 1

Date Analyzed:09/04/92

Date Confirmed:09/08/92

| Compound        | Primary<br>Result | Confirmatory<br>Result | Reporting<br>Limit |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Benzene         | ND                | ND                     | 0.7                |
| Ethyl Benzene   | 6.3               | 9.0                    | 0.6                |
| Toluene         | 2.7               | 2.0                    | 0.8                |
| Xylenes (total) | 89.6              | 79.0                   | 1.1                |

ND-Not Detected  
NA-Not Applicable  
D-Dilution Factor

ANALYST: AD

GROUP LEADER: *hussel*

-----  
GC ANALYTICAL REPORT  
Analytical Method  
8020 Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4294

% Moisture: 16.2

Client ID:R1-V-4.0'-4.5'

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:4294-2

Level:MEDIUM

Unit:ug/KG

Dilution Factor: 4

Date Analyzed:09/08/92

Date Confirmed:09/09/92  
=====

| Compound        | Primary<br>Result | Confirmatory<br>Result | Reporting<br>Limit |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Benzene         | ND                | ND                     | 290.0              |
| Ethyl Benzene   | 330.0             | 330.0                  | 240.0              |
| Toluene         | ND                | ND                     | 330.0              |
| Xylenes (total) | 1200              | 3000.0                 | 430.0              |

ND-Not Detected  
NA-Not Applicable  
D-Dilution FactorANALYST: *AM*GROUP LEADER: *[Signature]*

-----  
GC ANALYTICAL REPORT  
Analytical Method  
8020 Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4294

% Moisture: 14.9

Client ID:R1-V-18.5'-19'

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:4294-4

Level:LOW

Unit:ug/KG

Dilution Factor: 1

Date Analyzed:09/08/92  
Date Confirmed:09/04/92  
=====

| Compound        | Primary<br>Result | Confirmatory<br>Result | Reporting<br>Limit |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Benzene         | ND                | ND                     | 0.7                |
| Ethyl Benzene   | ND                | ND                     | 0.6                |
| Toluene         | ND                | ND                     | 0.8                |
| Xylenes (total) | 1.1               | 3.7                    | 1.0                |

ND-Not Detected  
NA-Not Applicable  
D-Dilution FactorANALYST: *Am*GROUP LEADER: *fu*



-----  
GC ANALYTICAL REPORT  
Analytical Method  
8020 Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4294

% Moisture:NA

Client ID:METHOD BLANK

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:MSVG5920904

Level:LOW

Unit:ug/KG

Dilution Factor: 1

Date Analyzed:09/04/92  
Date Confirmed:-----

| Compound        | Primary<br>Result | Confirmatory<br>Result | Reporting<br>Limit |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| -----           |                   |                        |                    |
| Benzene         | ND                | ND                     | 0.6                |
| Ethyl Benzene   | ND                | ND                     | 0.5                |
| Toluene         | ND                | ND                     | 0.7                |
| Xylenes (total) | ND                | ND                     | 0.9                |

ND-Not Detected  
NA-Not Applicable  
D-Dilution Factor

ANALYST: MB

GROUP LEADER: 

-----  
GC ANALYTICAL REPORT  
Analytical Method  
8020 Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4294

% Moisture:NA

Client ID:METHOD BLANK

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:MWVG5920909

Level:MEDIUM

Unit:ug/KG

Dilution Factor: 1

Date Analyzed:09/09/92  
Date Confirmed:NA

| Compound        | Primary<br>Result | Confirmatory<br>Result | Reporting<br>Limit |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Benzene         | ND                | ND                     | 60.0               |
| Ethyl Benzene   | ND                | ND                     | 50.0               |
| Toluene         | ND                | ND                     | 70.0               |
| Xylenes (total) | ND                | ND                     | 90.0               |

ND-Not Detected  
NA-Not Applicable  
D-Dilution FactorANALYST: *AD*GROUP LEADER: *Rewert*

-----  
GC ANALYTICAL REPORT  
Analytical Method  
8020 Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4294

% Moisture:NA

Client ID:METHOD BLANK

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:MSVG3920908B

Level:LOW

Unit:ug/KG

Dilution Factor: 1

Date Analyzed:09/08/92

Date Confirmed:

| =====           |                   |                        |                    |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Compound        | Primary<br>Result | Confirmatory<br>Result | Reporting<br>Limit |
| =====           |                   |                        |                    |
| Benzene         | ND                | ND                     | 0.6                |
| Ethyl Benzene   | ND                | ND                     | 0.5                |
| Toluene         | ND                | ND                     | 0.7                |
| Xylenes (total) | ND                | ND                     | 0.9                |

ND-Not Detected  
NA-Not Applicable  
D-Dilution FactorANALYST: *h*GROUP LEADER: *Luert*

-----  
GC ANALYTICAL REPORT  
Analytical Method  
8020 Aromatic Compounds

Work Order NO.:4294

% Moisture:NA

Client ID:METHOD BLANK

Matrix:SOIL

Laboratory ID:MWVG3920908B

Level:MEDIUM

Unit:ug/KG

Dilution Factor: 1

Date Analyzed:09/08/92  
Date Confirmed:NA

| Compound        | Primary<br>Result | Confirmatory<br>Result | Reporting<br>Limit |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Benzene         | ND                | ND                     | 60.0               |
| Ethyl Benzene   | ND                | ND                     | 50.0               |
| Toluene         | ND                | ND                     | 70.0               |
| Xylenes (total) | ND                | ND                     | 90.0               |

ND-Not Detected  
NA-Not Applicable  
D-Dilution Factor

ANALYST: AB

GROUP LEADER: 

METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

WO # 4294

LAB NAME : ENGINEERING-SCIENCE, INC.

DATE ANALYZED : 09/08/92

LAB SAMPLE ID: MWVG3920908B

DATE EXTRACTED : NA

MATRIX : MEDIUM SOIL

INSTRUMENT ID: VGC-3

| LAB<br>SAMPLE ID | CLIENT<br>SAMPLE ID | DATE<br>ANALYZED |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| MWVG3920908B     | METHOD BLANK        | 09/08/92         |
| 4294-2           | R1-V-4.0-4.5'       | 09/08/92         |

METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

WO # 4294

LAB NAME : ENGINEERING-SCIENCE, INC.

DATE ANALYZED : 09/09/92

LAB SAMPLE ID: MWVG5920909

DATE EXTRACTED : NA

MATRIX : MEDIUM SOIL

INSTRUMENT ID: VGC-5

| LAB<br>SAMPLE ID | CLIENT<br>SAMPLE ID | DATE<br>ANALYZED |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| MWVG5920909      | METHOD BLANK        | 09/09/92         |
| 4294-2           | R1-V-4.0-4.5'       | 09/09/92         |

METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

WO # 4294

LAB NAME : ENGINEERING-SCIENCE, INC.

DATE ANALYZED : 09/04/92

LAB SAMPLE ID:MSVG5920904

DATE EXTRACTED : NA

MATRIX : SOIL

INSTRUMENT ID:VGC-5

| LAB<br>SAMPLE ID | CLIENT<br>SAMPLE ID | DATE<br>ANALYZED |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| MSVG5920904      | METHOD BLANK        | 09/04/92         |
| SSVG5920904A     | SPIKE               | 09/04/92         |
| SSVG5920904B     | SPIKE DUP           | 09/04/92         |
| 4294-1           | R1-A-8.5'-10'       | 09/04/92         |
| 4294-4           | R1-V-18.5'-19'      | 09/04/92         |

METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

WO # 4294

LAB NAME : ENGINEERING-SCIENCE, INC.

DATE ANALYZED : 09/08/92

LAB SAMPLE ID:MSVG3920908B

DATE EXTRACTED : NA

MATRIX :SOIL

INSTRUMENT ID:VGC-3

| LAB<br>SAMPLE ID | CLIENT<br>SAMPLE ID | DATE<br>ANALYZED |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| MSVG3920908B     | METHOD BLANK        | 09/08/92         |
| 4294-1           | R1-A-8.5'-10'       | 09/08/92         |
| 4292-4           | R1-V-18.5'-19'      | 09/08/92         |



-----  
ES-ENGINEERING SCIENCE, INC.

600 BANCROFT WAY  
BERKELEY, CA 94710  
-----

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT  
ANALYTICAL REPORT  
BTEX AROMATIC COMPOUNDS

MATRIX: MEDIUM SOIL

COLUMN ID: VGC-5 DB624

DATE: 09/09/92  
=====

LABORATORY NO.

CLIENT ID

a-a-a-TriFluoro  
Toluene  
=====

MWVG5920909  
4294-2

METHOD BLANK  
R1-V-4.0'-4.5'

97  
62

-----  
ES-ENGINEERING SCIENCE, INC.

600 BANCROFT WAY  
BERKELEY, CA 94710  
-----

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT  
ANALYTICAL REPORT  
BTEX AROMATIC COMPOUNDS

MATRIX: MEDIUM SOIL

COLUMN ID: VGC-3 VOCOL

DATE: 09/08/92  
-----

LABORATORY NO.

CLIENT ID

a-a-a-TriFluoro  
Toluene  
-----

MWVG3920908B  
4294-2

METHOD BLANK  
R1-V-4.0'-4.5'

118  
52

-----  
ES-ENGINEERING SCIENCE, INC.

600 BANCROFT WAY  
BERKELEY, CA 94710  
-----

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT  
ANALYTICAL REPORT  
BTEX AROMATIC COMPOUNDS

MATRIX: SOIL

COLUMN ID: VGC-3 VOCOL

DATE: 09/08/92  
=====

LABORATORY NO.

CLIENT ID

a-a-a-TriFluoro  
Toluene  
=====

MSVG3920908B  
4294-1  
4294-4

METHOD BLANK  
R1-A-8.5'-10'  
R1-V-18.5'-19'

110  
77  
97

-----  
ES-ENGINEERING SCIENCE, INC.

600 BANCROFT WAY  
BERKELEY, CA 94710  
-----

GC ANALYTICAL REPORT  
ANALYTICAL REPORT  
BTEX AROMATIC COMPOUNDS

MATRIX: SOIL

COLUMN ID: VGC-5 DB624

DATE: 09/04/92  
=====

LABORATORY NO.

CLIENT ID

a-a-a-TriFluoro  
Toluene  
=====

MSVG5920904  
SSVG5920904A  
SSVG5920904B  
4294-1  
4294-4

METHOD BLANK  
SPIKE  
SPIKE DUP  
R1-A-8.5'-10'  
R1-V-18.5'-19'

99  
105  
101  
73  
88

**TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS  
DATA PACKAGE**

ES-ENGINEERING SCIENCE, INC.

600 Bancroft Way  
Berkeley, CA 94710

=====

ORGANIC ANALYTICAL REPORT

Work Order NO.: 4294

Matrix: Soil

Parameter: TPH

Unit: mg/Kg

Analytical

Method: 418.1

Date Extracted: 09/15/92

QC Batch NO.: S92QCB023TPH

Date Analyzed: 09/22/92

=====

| Sample ID:  | Client ID:     | Result | Reporting<br>Limit | Percent<br>Moisture |
|-------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 4294-01     | R1-A-8.5'-10'  | 5700   | 5                  | 17.5                |
| 4294-02     | R1-V-4.0'-4.5' | 37     | 5                  | 16.2                |
| 4294-04     | R1-V-18.5'-19' | 8      | 5                  | 14.9                |
| MSTPH920915 | METHOD BLANK   | ND     | 4                  | NA                  |

=====

NA\_ Not Analyzed

ND\_ Not Detected

ANALYST:

GROUP LEADER:

-----  
*Alan S.*

-----  
*Kevin*

ES-ENGINEERING SCIENCE, INC.

600 Bancroft Way  
Berkeley. CA 94710

=====

ORGANIC QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS SUMMARY  
Blank Spike/Spike Duplicate

Work Order NO.: 4294

QC Sample NO.: SSTPH920915A & B

Analytical Method: 418.1

Blank I.D.: MSTPH920915

Matrix: Soil

QC Batch NO.: S92QCB023TPH

Unit: mg/Kg

=====

| Parameter | Date<br>Analyzed | BR | SA  | BS  | PR  | BSD | PR  | RPD |
|-----------|------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| TPH       | 09/22/92         | 0  | 165 | 176 | 107 | 172 | 104 | 2   |

=====

BS-Blank Spike  
BSD-Blank Spike Duplicate  
SA-Spike Added  
BR\_Blank Result  
NA-Not Applicable  
NC-Not Calculated  
ND-Not Detected

$$RPD = ((BS - BSD) / ((BS + BSD) / 2)) * 100$$

$$PR = ((BS \text{ OR } BSD - BR) / SA) * 100$$

ANALYST:

QUALITY CONTROL:

-----  
*Alan J*  
-----

-----  
*MMB*  
-----

## INORGANICS DATA PACKAGE



## INORGANICS ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client: ES-Denver  
Project: AFCEEWork Order: 4294  
Matrix: SolidClient's ID: R1-A R1-V R1-V  
-8.5'-10' -4.0'-4.5' -18'

Sample Date: 08/27/92 08/26/92 08/26/92

% Moisture:

Lab ID: 4294.01 4294.02 4292.03

| Parameter  | -----Results----- | Method     | Normal<br>Report<br>Limit | Units                   | Date<br>Analyzed |
|------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Alkalinity | ND ND ND          | SM 403(M)  | 50                        | mg/Kg CaCO <sub>3</sub> | 09/10/92         |
| Moisture   | 17.5 16.2 9.1     | ASTM D2216 | .1                        | % by wt                 | 09/04/92         |
| pH         | 5.2 4.9 5.4       | EPA 9045   | NA                        | pH Units                | 09/15/92         |

Note: Samples for alkalinity analysis were extracted using 10mL water for each 1g sample. These water extracts were analyzed for alkalinity, and the results were calculated in the solid on a dry-weight basis.

NA- Not Applicable

ND- Not Detected

ANALYST: Don AllectorGROUP LEADER: Walter S. Gray

## INORGANICS ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client: ES-Denver  
Project: AFCEEWork Order: 4294  
Matrix: SolidClient's ID: Prep  
Blank

Sample Date:

% Moisture:

Lab ID: Prep Blank

| Parameter  | -----Results----- | Method     | Normal<br>Report<br>Limit | Units       | Date<br>Analyzed |
|------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Alkalinity | ND                | SM 403(M)  | 50                        | mg/Kg CaCO3 | 09/10/92         |
| Moisture   | NA                | ASTM D2216 | .1                        | % by wt     | 09/04/92         |
| pH         | NA                | EPA 9045   | NA                        | pH Units    | 09/15/92         |

Note: Samples for alkalinity analysis were extracted using 10mL water for each 1g sample. These water extracts were analyzed for alkalinity, and the results were calculated in the solid on a dry-weight basis.

NA- Not Applicable  
ND- Not Detected

ANALYST:

Don Deaton

GROUP LEADER:

Will L. Day

## INORGANICS QC SUMMARY - LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Work Order: 4294 % Moisture: NA  
Lab ID of LCS: Matrix: Solid  
Alkalinity: 452.22 LCS  
Units: mg/Kg CaCO3

| Parameter  | Date<br>Analyzed<br>LCS | LCS<br>Result | Conc<br>Added | % Rec<br>LCS | Advisory Limits    |      |
|------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|------|
|            |                         |               |               |              | -- % Rec --<br>Low | High |
| Alkalinity | 09/10/92                | 23000.00      | 23650.00      | 97           | 80                 | 120  |

ANALYST: Don MeatonDate 9/28/92REVIEWER: NWBDate 9/28/92

File: M1QCLCSW

## INORGANIC QC SUMMARY - MS and MSD

Work Order: 4294

% Moisture: NA

Alkalinity Moisture pH  
 Lab ID Spk/Dup: Blank Spk 4286.01 4294.01  
 QC Batch: 452.22 451.51 453.34

Matrix: Solid

Units: mg/Kg CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Alk)  
 % by wt. (Mois)  
 pH Units (pH)

| Parameter  | Date Analyzed<br>MS/Dup | -----Results-----  |           |          | RPD | RPD<br>QC<br>Limit | -Conc Added- |          | Percent Recovered |     |
|------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|-----|--------------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|-----|
|            |                         | Unspiked<br>Sample | MS/Sample | MSD/Dup  |     |                    | MS           | MSD      | MS                | MSD |
| Alkalinity | 09/10/92                | 0.00               | 23000.00  | 23000.00 | 0   | 20                 | 23650.00     | 23650.00 | 97                | 97  |
| Moisture   | 09/04/92                |                    | 15.34     | 18.00    | 16  | 20                 |              |          |                   |     |
| pH         | 09/15/92                |                    | 5.21      | 5.49     | 5   | 20                 |              |          |                   |     |

\* or N = Outside QC Limit:

QC Limits for % Rec: 75 - 125

ANALYST:

*Don Gleason*

Date

9/28/92

REVIEWER:

*MVB*

Date

*MVB*

File: M1QCHSWH

**METALS DATA PACKAGE**

METALS CASE NARRATIVE  
WORK ORDER NO.4294  
SOILS

The concentration of iron in sample MPA-18 was greater than four times the spike added to the MS and MSD samples. The LCS and duplicate LCS results for iron were checked, and the laboratory was found to be in control. All iron results in this batch are therefore reported unqualified based on matrix spike recovery.

The serial dilution sample result for iron did not agree with the undiluted result within 10%, and the diluted sample result was greater than ten times the iron MDL. All iron results in this batch are therefore flagged with "E".

Client ID's were abridged by the laboratory to facilitate computer entry of analytical data. The following should be used as a reference:

CLIENT ID

R1-A-8.5'-10'  
R1-V-4.0'-4.5'  
R1-V-18'

ABRIDGED ID

A-8.5'  
V-4.0'  
V-18'

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

A-8, 5'

Lab Name: E S BERKELEY LABORATORY Contract: AFCEE

Lab Code: ESBL Case No.: 4294S SAS No.: SDG No.: A-3

Matrix (soil/water): SOIL Lab Sample ID: 4294.01

Level (low/med): LOW Date Sampled : 08/27/92

Solids: 82.5

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): MG/KG

[illegible]

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

V-4.0'

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): MG/KG

[illegible]

Comments:



INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET



CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Lab Name: E S BERKELEY LABORATORY

Contract: AFCEE

MPA-1851

Lab Code: ESBL

Case No.: 4294S

SAS No. :

SDG No. : A-3

Matrix (soil/water): SOIL

Level (low/med): LOW\_\_

Solids for Sample: 94.7

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight):MG/KG

[illegible]

Comments:

MPA-18S2

Lab Name: E\_S\_\_BERKELEY\_LABORATORY\_

Contract: AFCEE

Lab Code: ESBL

Case No.: 4294S

SAS No.: \_\_\_\_\_ SDG No.: A-3\_\_\_\_\_

SDG No.: A-3

Matrix (soil/water): SOIL\_\_

Level (low/med): LOW

% Solids for Sample: 94.7

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight):MG/KG

[illegible]

Comments:

## Inorganics Report

MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

Lab Name: E S BERKELEY LABORATORY Contract: AFCEE

Lab Code: ESBL Case No.: 4294S SAS No.: SDG No.: A-3

Matrix (soil/water): SOIL\_ Level (low/med): LOW

Solids for Sample: 94.7 % Solids for Duplicate: 94.9

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight):MG/KG

FORM VI - IN

## BLANK SPIKE SAMPLE

Aqueous LCS Source: \_\_\_\_\_

3 / 90

Contract: AFCEE\_\_\_\_\_

SDG No.: A-3\_\_\_\_\_

Aqueous LCS Source: \_\_\_\_\_

3 / 90

LCSSD

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg as received):MG/KG

[illegible]



## ICP SERIAL DILUTION

MPA-18L

Matrix (soil/water): SOIL\_ Level (low/med): LOW\_

Concentration Units: ug/L

[illegible]

Furnace AA ID Number : \_\_\_\_\_ (ug/L in 1.00g to 100ml digestate)

[illegible]

Comments:

Contract: AFCEE

SDG No. : A-3\_\_\_\_\_

[illegible]

## ANALYSIS RUN LOG

Lab Name: E\_S\_\_BERKELEY\_LABORATORY\_\_

Contract: AFCEE\_\_

Lab Code: ESBL\_\_ Case No.: 4294S\_\_

SAS No.: \_\_\_\_\_ SDG No.: A-3\_\_

Instrument ID Number: TJA 61 M\_\_

Method: P\_\_

Start Date: 09/17/92

End Date: 09/17/92

| EPA<br>Sample<br>No. | D/F  | Time | % R | Analytes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|------|------|-----|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                      |      |      |     | F        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STD1                 | 1.00 | 1423 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STD2                 | 1.00 | 1427 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STD3                 | 1.00 | 1432 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STD4                 | 1.00 | 1437 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ICV                  | 1.00 | 1441 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ICB                  | 1.00 | 1446 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ICSA                 | 1.00 | 1451 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ICSAB                | 1.00 | 1455 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CRI                  | 1.00 | 1500 |     |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PBLANK               | 1.00 | 1504 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ZZZZZZ               | 1.00 | 1509 |     |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCSS                 | 1.00 | 1514 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCSSD                | 1.00 | 1518 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-8.5'               | 1.00 | 1523 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V-4.0'               | 1.00 | 1527 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V-18'                | 1.00 | 1532 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCV                  | 1.00 | 1537 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCB                  | 1.00 | 1541 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V-7'3"               | 1.00 | 1546 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-5                  | 1.00 | 1551 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A-3                  | 1.00 | 1555 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VW-8                 | 1.00 | 1600 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPA-07               | 1.00 | 1604 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPA-18               | 1.00 | 1609 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPA-18S1             | 1.00 | 1614 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPA-18S2             | 1.00 | 1618 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPA-18L              | 1.00 | 1623 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCV                  | 1.00 | 1627 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCB                  | 1.00 | 1632 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPB-18               | 1.00 | 1637 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPB-06               | 1.00 | 1641 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPC-06               | 1.00 | 1646 |     | X        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Contract: AFCEE\_\_\_\_\_

SAS No. : \_\_\_\_\_ SDG No. : A-3 \_\_\_\_\_

Method: P

End Date: 09/17/92

[illegible]

**TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN**  
**TOTAL PHOSPHATE**  
**SOIL CLASSIFICATION**  
**DATA PACKAGE**



# SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063  
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.  
600 Bancroft Way  
Berkeley, CA 94710  
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. #4294  
Sample Descript: Soil  
Analysis for: Total Phosphorous  
First Sample #: 209-0160

Sampled: 8/26-27/92  
Received: Sep 2, 1992  
Analyzed: Sep 16, 1992  
Reported: Sep 21, 1992

## LABORATORY ANALYSIS FOR: Total Phosphorous

| Sample Number | Sample Description | Detection Limit<br>mg/kg | Sample Result<br>mg/kg |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 209-0160      | R1-A-8.5'-10'      | 10                       | 79                     |
| 209-0161      | R1-V-4.0'-4.5'     | 10                       | 110                    |
| 209-0162      | R1-V-18'           | 10                       | 64                     |
| -             | Method Blank       | 10                       | N.D.                   |

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN  
APPROVED AND REVIEWED BY

*Tom Paulson* 9/28/92  
ESBL PROJECT MANAGER DATE

Analytes reported as N.D. were not present above the stated limit of detection.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

*Tod Granicher*  
Tod Granicher  
Project Manager

Please Note:

Analysis results reported on a dry-weight basis.

2090160.ENG <4>



# SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063  
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.  
600 Bancroft Way  
Berkeley, CA 94710  
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. #4294  
Sample Descript: Soil  
Analysis for: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen  
First Sample #: 209-0160

Sampled: 8/26-27/92  
Received: Sep 2, 1992  
Analyzed: Sep 3, 1992  
Reported: Sep 21, 1992

## LABORATORY ANALYSIS FOR: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen

| Sample Number | Sample Description | Detection Limit<br>mg/kg | Sample Result<br>mg/kg |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 209-0160      | R1-A-8.5'-10'      | 20                       | 68                     |
| 209-0161      | R1-V-4.0'-4.5'     | 20                       | 110                    |
| 209-0162      | R1-V-18'           | 20                       | 92                     |
| -             | Method Blank       | 20                       | N.D.                   |

Analytes reported as N.D. were not present above the stated limit of detection.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

  
Tod Granicher  
Project Manager

Please Note:

Analysis results reported on a dry-weight basis.

2090160.ENG <5>





# SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063  
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.  
600 Bancroft Way  
Berkeley, CA 94710  
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. #4294

QC Sample Group: 209-0160-62

Reported: Sep 21, 1992

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

### ANALYTE

Total Kjeldahl

Nitrogen

Total Phosphorous

Method: EPA351.4

EPA365.3

Analyst: G. Kern

K. Follett

Reporting Units: mg/kg

mg/kg

Date Analyzed: Sep 3, 1992

Jul 16, 1992

QC Sample #: 209-0162

209-0841

Sample Conc.: 84

40

Spike Conc.  
Added: 4000

100

Conc. Matrix  
Spike: 4600

120

Matrix Spike  
% Recovery: 113

80

Conc. Matrix  
Spike Dup.: 4600

130

Matrix Spike  
Duplicate  
% Recovery: 113

90

Relative  
% Difference: 0.0

8.0

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Tod Granicher  
Project Manager

% Recovery:  $\frac{\text{Conc. of M.S.} - \text{Conc. of Sample}}{\text{Spike Conc. Added}} \times 100$

Relative % Difference:  $\frac{\text{Conc. of M.S.} - \text{Conc. of M.S.D.}}{(\text{Conc. of M.S.} + \text{Conc. of M.S.D.}) / 2} \times 100$

2090160.ENG <6>



# SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063  
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.  
600 Bancroft Way  
Berkeley, CA 94710  
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. #4294  
Sample Descript: Soil, R1-A-8.5'-10'  
Method of Analysis: ASTM D422-63  
Lab Number: 209-0160

Sampled: Aug 27, 1992  
Received: Sep 2, 1992  
Analyzed: Sep 9, 1992  
Reported: Sep 21, 1992

## PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION BY SIEVE AND HYDROMETER

### SIEVE TEST

- (A) TOTAL WEIGHT OF SAMPLE:
- (B) WEIGHT RETAINED IN NO. 10 SIEVE:
- (C) % PASSING NO. 10 SIEVE:

|         |
|---------|
| 229.98g |
| 0.75g   |
| 99.67   |

SIEVE TEST FOR  
WEIGHT RETAINED  
IN NO. 10 SIEVE

IDEAL PAN = 0.0  
IDEAL TOTAL = (B)

| SIEVE SIZE | WEIGHT<br>RETAINED, g | % RETAINED | CUMULATIVE<br>% RETAINED | CUMULATIVE<br>% PASSING |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 1/2 in.  | 0.0                   | 0.0        | 0.0                      | 100                     |
| 3/8 in.    | 0.0                   | 0.0        | 0.0                      | 100                     |
| No. 4      | 0.0                   | 0.0        | 0.0                      | 100                     |
| No. 10     | 0.75g                 | 0.33       | 0.33                     | 99.67                   |
|            |                       |            |                          |                         |
| PAN        | 0.0                   |            |                          |                         |
| TOTAL      | 0.75g                 |            |                          |                         |

### HYDROMETER TEST

| ELAPSED TIME<br>(T) | TEMP.<br>°C | HYDROMETER<br>READING (H) | CORRECTED<br>READING (R) | (L)  | PARTICLE<br>DIAM. (S) |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------|-----------------------|
| 2                   | 21          | 22                        | 18                       | 13.3 | 0.035                 |
| 5                   | 21          | 20                        | 16                       | 13.7 | 0.022                 |
| 10                  | 21          | 19                        | 15                       | 13.8 | 0.016                 |
| 15                  | 21          | 18                        | 14                       | 14.0 | 0.013                 |
| 25                  | 21          | 18                        | 14                       | 14.0 | 0.010                 |
| 40                  | 21          | 18                        | 14                       | 14.0 | 0.0080                |
| 60                  | 21          | 17                        | 13                       | 14.2 | 0.0067                |
| 90                  | 21          | 17                        | 13                       | 14.2 | 0.0054                |
| 120                 | 21          | 17                        | 13                       | 14.2 | 0.0046                |
| 1440                | 21          | 16                        | 12                       | 14.3 | 0.0013                |

% SUSPENDED  
(P)

|    |
|----|
| 28 |
| 25 |
| 23 |
| 22 |
| 22 |
| 22 |
| 20 |
| 20 |
| 20 |
| 19 |

WEIGHT OF SOIL USED IN HYDROMETER TEST (D):  
 HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE CORRECTION FACTOR (G):  
 SPECIFIC GRAVITY (ASSUMED):  
 DISPERSING AGENT CORRECTION FACTOR (E):  
 MENISCUS CORRECTION FACTOR (F):  
 TEMP./SPEC. GRAVITY DEPENDANT CONSTANT (K):

|         |
|---------|
| 65g     |
| 0.988   |
| 2.65    |
| 3       |
| 1       |
| 0.01348 |

#### FORMULAS:

$R = H - E - F$   
 $S = K [ \text{SQRT} (L / T) ]$   
 $P = (R / W) 100$   
 $W = (J \cdot 100) / C$   
 $J = D \cdot G$

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

  
 Tod Granicher  
 Project Manager



# SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063  
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.  
600 Bancroft Way  
Berkeley, CA 94710  
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. #4294  
Sample Descript: Soil, R1-V-4.0'-4.5'  
Method of Analysis: ASTM D422-63  
Lab Number: 209-0161

Sampled: Aug 26, 1992  
Received: Sep 2, 1992  
Analyzed: Sep 9, 1992  
Reported: Sep 21, 1992

## PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION BY SIEVE AND HYDROMETER

### SIEVE TEST

- (A) TOTAL WEIGHT OF SAMPLE:  
(B) WEIGHT RETAINED IN NO. 10 SIEVE:  
(C) % PASSING NO. 10 SIEVE:

|         |
|---------|
| 155.43g |
| 0.50g   |
| 99.68   |

SIEVE TEST FOR  
WEIGHT RETAINED  
IN NO. 10 SIEVE

IDEAL PAN = 0.0  
IDEAL TOTAL = (B)

| SIEVE SIZE | WEIGHT<br>RETAINED, g | % RETAINED | CUMULATIVE<br>% RETAINED | CUMULATIVE<br>% PASSING |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1½in.      | 0.0                   | 0.0        | 0.0                      | 100                     |
| 3/8in.     | 0.0                   | 0.0        | 0.0                      | 100                     |
| No. 4      | 0.0                   | 0.0        | 0.0                      | 100                     |
| No. 10     | 0.50                  | 0.32       | 0.32                     | 99.68                   |
|            |                       |            |                          |                         |
| PAN        | 0.0                   |            |                          |                         |
| TOTAL      | 0.50                  |            |                          |                         |

### HYDROMETER TEST

| ELAPSED TIME<br>(T) | TEMP.<br>°C | HYDROMETER<br>READING (H) | CORRECTED<br>READING (R) | (L)  | PARTICLE<br>DIAM. (S) | % SUSPENDED<br>(P) |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 2                   | 21          | 29                        | 25                       | 12.2 | 0.033                 | 38                 |
| 5                   | 21          | 28                        | 25                       | 12.4 | 0.021                 | 37                 |
| 10                  | 21          | 27                        | 23                       | 12.5 | 0.015                 | 35                 |
| 15                  | 21          | 27                        | 23                       | 12.5 | 0.012                 | 35                 |
| 25                  | 21          | 27                        | 23                       | 12.5 | 0.0095                | 35                 |
| 40                  | 21          | 26                        | 22                       | 12.7 | 0.0076                | 34                 |
| 60                  | 21          | 26                        | 22                       | 12.7 | 0.0062                | 34                 |
| 90                  | 21          | 25                        | 21                       | 12.9 | 0.0051                | 32                 |
| 120                 | 21          | 24                        | 20                       | 13.0 | 0.0044                | 31                 |
| 1440                | 21          | 24                        | 20                       | 13.0 | 0.0013                | 31                 |

WEIGHT OF SOIL USED IN HYDROMETER TEST (D):  
HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE CORRECTION FACTOR (G):  
SPECIFIC GRAVITY (ASSUMED):  
DISPERSING AGENT CORRECTION FACTOR (E):  
MENISCUS CORRECTION FACTOR (F):  
TEMP./SPEC. GRAVITY DEPENDANT CONSTANT (K):

|         |
|---------|
| 65g     |
| 0.996   |
| 2.65    |
| 3       |
| 1       |
| 0.01348 |

#### FORMULAS:

$R = H - E - F$   
 $S = K [ \text{SQRT} (L / T) ]$   
 $P = (R / W) 100$   
 $W = (J \cdot 100) / C$   
 $J = D \cdot G$

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Tod Granicher  
Project Manager



# SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063  
(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

Engineering Science, Inc.  
600 Bancroft Way  
Berkeley, CA 94710  
Attention: Tom Paulson

Client Project ID: W.O. #4294  
Sample Descript: Soil, R1-V-18'  
Method of Analysis: ASTM D422-63  
Lab Number: 209-0162

Sampled: Aug 26, 1992  
Received: Sep 2, 1992  
Analyzed: Sep 9, 1992  
Reported: Sep 21, 1992

## PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION BY SIEVE AND HYDROMETER

### SIEVE TEST

- (A) TOTAL WEIGHT OF SAMPLE:
- (B) WEIGHT RETAINED IN NO. 10 SIEVE:
- (C) % PASSING NO. 10 SIEVE:

|         |
|---------|
| 185.38g |
| 38.93g  |
| 79.00   |

SIEVE TEST FOR  
WEIGHT RETAINED  
IN NO. 10 SIEVE

IDEAL PAN = 0.0  
IDEAL TOTAL = (B)

| SIEVE SIZE | WEIGHT<br>RETAINED, g | % RETAINED | CUMULATIVE<br>% RETAINED | CUMULATIVE<br>% PASSING |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1½in.      | 0.0                   | 0.0        | 0.0                      | 100                     |
| 3/8in.     | 0.0                   | 0.0        | 0.0                      | 100                     |
| No. 4      | 5.49                  | 2.96       | 2.96                     | 97.04                   |
| No. 10     | 33.44                 | 18.04      | 21.00                    | 79.00                   |
|            |                       |            |                          |                         |
| PAN        | 0.0                   |            |                          |                         |
| TOTAL      | 38.93                 |            |                          |                         |

### HYDROMETER TEST

| ELAPSED TIME<br>(T) | TEMP.<br>°C | HYDROMETER<br>READING (H) | CORRECTED<br>READING (R) | (L)  | PARTICLE<br>DIAM. (S) | % SUSPENDED<br>(P) |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 2                   | 21          | 22                        | 18                       | 13.3 | 0.035                 | 28                 |
| 5                   | 21          | 20                        | 16                       | 13.7 | 0.022                 | 25                 |
| 10                  | 21          | 19                        | 15                       | 13.8 | 0.016                 | 23                 |
| 15                  | 21          | 19                        | 15                       | 13.8 | 0.013                 | 22                 |
| 25                  | 21          | 19                        | 15                       | 13.8 | 0.010                 | 22                 |
| 40                  | 21          | 18                        | 14                       | 14.0 | 0.0080                | 22                 |
| 60                  | 21          | 18                        | 14                       | 14.0 | 0.0065                | 20                 |
| 90                  | 21          | 18                        | 14                       | 14.0 | 0.0053                | 20                 |
| 120                 | 21          | 17                        | 13                       | 14.2 | 0.0046                | 20                 |
| 1440                | 21          | 17                        | 13                       | 14.2 | 0.0013                | 19                 |

WEIGHT OF SOIL USED IN HYDROMETER TEST (D):  
 HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE CORRECTION FACTOR (G):  
 SPECIFIC GRAVITY (ASSUMED):  
 DISPERSING AGENT CORRECTION FACTOR (E):  
 MENISCUS CORRECTION FACTOR (F):  
 TEMP./SPEC. GRAVITY DEPENDANT CONSTANT (K):

|         |
|---------|
| 65g     |
| 0.991   |
| 2.65    |
| 3       |
| 1       |
| 0.01348 |

FORMULAS:  
 $R = H - E - F$   
 $S = K [ \text{SQRT} (L / T) ]$   
 $P = (R / W) 100$   
 $W = (J \cdot 100) / C$   
 $J = D \cdot G$

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

  
 Tod Granicher  
 Project Manager


2090160.ENG <3>

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: Engineering Science, Inc.

LABORATORY NUMBER: 209-0160

U.S. STANDARD SIEVE SIZES

|      |     |
|------|-----|
| SAND | 9%  |
| SILT | 22% |
| CLAY | 19% |




SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: Engineering Science, Inc.

LABORATORY NUMBER: 209-0161


U.S. STANDARD SIEVE SIZES

|        |     |
|--------|-----|
| GRAVEL | 0%  |
| SAND   | 49% |
| SILT   | 20% |
| CLAY   | 31% |



GRAIN DIAMETER IN MILLIMETERS

| COARSE |  | FINE |  | COARSE |  | MEDIUM |  | FINE |  | SILT SIZES |  | CLAY SIZES |  |
|--------|--|------|--|--------|--|--------|--|------|--|------------|--|------------|--|
| GRAVEL |  |      |  |        |  | SAND   |  |      |  | FINES      |  |            |  |



DATE: 9/2/92 TIME:



Proj. No. (Job)

DE268.03.

Project Title

Robins AFB

SAMPLERS: (Signature)

*Anand J. Bush*

DATE

TIME

SAMPLE I.D.

27 AUG 92

0930

R1-A-8.5'-10'

27 AUG 92

0930

R1-A-8.5'-10'

27 AUG 92

0930

R1-A-8.5'-10'

26 AUG 92

1400

R1-V-4.0'-4.5'

26 AUG 92

1400

R1-V-4.0'-4.5'

26 AUG 92

1400

R1-V-4.0'-4.5'

26 AUG 92

1515

R1-V-18'

26 AUG 92

1515

R1-V-18'

26 AUG 92

1545

R1-V-18.5'-19'

SAMPLE TYPE (✓)

PH  
Alkalinity  
IRON  
TOTAL SOL  
WATER NOISE  
KJELDAHL N  
TOTAL PHOSPHATE

Container No.

Number of Containers

Remarks

Brass Sleeve  
100g glass  
40g glass  
40g glass  
100g glass  
Brass Sleeve  
100g glass  
40g glass  
Brass Sleeve

Add soil class.  
per dis. w/ client  
9/1/92  
*[Signature]*

Relinquished by: (Signature)

*Anand J. Bush*

Date/Time

30 AUG 92 20:50

Received by: (Signature)

*[Signature]*

Relinquished by: (Signature)

*[Signature]*

Date/Time

8/31 9:15

Received by: (Signature)

*[Signature]*

Relinquished by: (Signature)

*[Signature]*

Date/Time

9/1/92 0930

Remarks

9/1/92 0930

**APPENDIX B**  
**SITE UST 173 SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY DATA**

| TABLE B-1. RESULTS OF SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY TEST AT MONITORING POINT R1-MPA |                                |       |        |            |                                |       |        |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|--------|------------|--------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Time (min)  | Pressure (psi) at Depth (feet) |       |        | Time (min) | Pressure (psi) at Depth (feet) |       |        |
|   | 6'10"                          | 14'3" | 21'10" |            | 6'10"                          | 14'3" | 21'10" |
| 0   | 0                              | 0.01  | 0.01   | 20         | 0                              | 0.22  | 0.25   |
| 1   | 0                              | 0.14  | 0.23   | 23         | 0                              | 0.215 | 0.25   |
| 2   | 0                              | 0.145 | 0.235  | 26         | 0                              | 0.22  | 0.25   |
| 3   | 0                              | 0.155 | 0.235  | 29         | 0                              | 0.215 | 0.25   |
| 4   | 0                              | 0.165 | 0.235  | 32         | 0                              | 0.22  | 0.25   |
| 5   | 0.005                          | 0.170 | 0.24   | 37         | 0                              | 0.22  | 0.25   |
| 6   | 0.005                          | 0.180 | 0.24   | 42         | 0                              | 0.205 | 0.25   |
| 7   | 0.005                          | 0.185 | 0.24   | 47         | 0                              | 0.235 | 0.25   |
| 8   | 0                              | 0.19  | 0.239  | 57         | 0                              | 0.235 | 0.25   |
| 9   | 0                              | 0.185 | 0.245  | 67         | 0                              | 0.25  | 0.30   |
| 10  | 0                              | 0.185 | 0.245  | 77         | 0                              | 0.25  | 0.35   |
| 12  | 0                              | 0.190 | 0.25   | 87         | 0                              | 0.25  | 0.35   |
| 14  | 0                              | 0.190 | 0.25   | 107        | 0                              | 0.25  | 0.35   |
| 16  | 0                              | 0.195 | 0.25   | 127        | 0                              | 0.25  | 0.35   |
| 18  | 0                              | 0.20  | 0.25   | 147        | 0                              | 0.25  | 0.35   |

**TABLE B-2. RESULTS OF SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY TEST AT MONITORING POINT R1-MPB**

| Time (min) | Pressure (psi) at Depth (feet) |       |       | Time (min) | Pressure (psi) at Depth (feet) |       |       |
|------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
|            | 8'                             | 15'   | 23'   |            | 8'                             | 15'   | 23'   |
| 0          | <0                             | <0    | 0.02  | 23         | 0.01                           | 0.19  | 0.20  |
| 1          | 0.005                          | 0.1   | 0.12  | 26         | 0.01                           | 0.19  | 0.20  |
| 2          | 0.01                           | 0.12  | 0.135 | 29         | 0.01                           | 0.185 | 0.19  |
| 3          | 0.015                          | 0.13  | 0.14  | 32         | 0.005                          | 0.175 | 0.19  |
| 4          | 0.025                          | 0.13  | 0.145 | 38         | 0.005                          | 0.18  | 0.195 |
| 5          | 0.02                           | 0.135 | 0.155 | 48         | 0.03                           | 0.195 | 0.21  |
| 6          | 0.025                          | 0.14  | 0.155 | 53         | 0.025                          | 0.20  | 0.22  |
| 7          | 0.025                          | 0.14  | 0.155 | 58         | 0.02                           | 0.2   | 0.22  |
| 8          | 0.025                          | 0.145 | 0.16  | 68         | 0.03                           | 0.21  | 0.235 |
| 9          | 0.25                           | 0.14  | 0.16  | 78         | 0.14                           | 0.25  | 0.30  |
| 10         | 0.025                          | 0.14  | 0.16  | 88         | 0.10                           | 0.25  | 0.30  |
| 12         | 0.02                           | 0.16  | 0.17  | 98         | 0.04                           | 0.25  | 0.27  |
| 14         | 0.015                          | 0.16  | 0.175 | 108        | <0                             | 0.24  | 0.25  |
| 16         | 0.01                           | 0.165 | 0.185 | 118        | <0                             | 0.22  | 0.30  |
| 18         | 0.015                          | 0.17  | 0.19  | 138        | <0                             | 0.22  | 0.30  |
| 20         | 0.01                           | 0.18  | 0.20  | 148        | <0                             | 0.21  | 0.30  |

| TABLE B-3. RESULTS OF SOIL GAS PERMEABILITY TEST AT MONITORING POINT R1-MPC |                                |       |       |            |                                |       |       |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|-------|------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Time (min)  | Pressure (psi) at Depth (feet) |       |       | Time (min) | Pressure (psi) at Depth (feet) |       |       |
|   | 8'                             | 15'   | 23'   |            | 8'                             | 15'   | 23'   |
| 0   | 0                              | 0.02  | 0.02  | 26         | 0.013                          | 0.165 | 0.165 |
| 1   | 0                              | 0.095 | 0.10  | 29         | 0.015                          | 0.165 | 0.165 |
| 2   | 0                              | 0.11  | 0.11  | 32         | 0.013                          | 0.173 | 0.170 |
| 3   | 0.005                          | 0.115 | 0.119 | 35         | 0.013                          | 0.173 | 0.175 |
| 4   | 0.007                          | 0.12  | 0.12  | 40         | 0.013                          | 0.167 | 0.163 |
| 5   | 0.01                           | 0.135 | 0.135 | 45         | 0.015                          | 0.185 | 0.185 |
| 6   | 0.01                           | 0.145 | 0.145 | 50         | 0.015                          | 0.193 | 0.193 |
| 7   | 0.01                           | 0.137 | 0.140 | 55         | 0.010                          | 0.193 | 0.193 |
| 8   | 0.01                           | 0.139 | 0.140 | 60         | 0.017                          | 0.203 | 0.196 |
| 9   | 0.01                           | 0.140 | 0.140 | 70         | 0.020                          | 0.200 | 0.196 |
| 10  | 0.01                           | 0.147 | 0.150 | 80         | 0.020                          | 0.220 | 0.220 |
| 12  | 0.01                           | 0.155 | 0.155 | 90         | 0.020                          | 0.227 | 0.227 |
| 14  | 0.01                           | 0.155 | 0.157 | 100        | 0.017                          | 0.225 | 0.222 |
| 16  | 0.013                          | 0.163 | 0.165 | 110        | 0.015                          | 0.222 | 0.222 |
| 18  | 0.013                          | 0.165 | 0.167 | 120        | 0.025                          | 0.245 | 0.243 |
| 20  | 0.015                          | 0.180 | 0.183 | 140        | 0.02                           | 0.220 | 0.220 |
| 23  | 0.013                          | 0.183 | 0.183 |            |                                |       |       |

**APPENDIX C**

**SITE UST 173 IN SITU RESPIRATION TEST DATA**




Figure C-1. Oxygen Utilization During In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point R1-MPA-14'3"




Figure C-2. Oxygen Utilization During In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point R1-MPA-21'10"






Figure C-3. Oxygen Utilization During In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point R1-MPA-15'




Figure C-4. Oxygen Utilization During In Situ Respiration Test at Monitoring Point R1-MPA-23'